

Stefan Engblom, Daniel Elfverson

**Question 1**

*Note:* a minus sign in front of the  $A$  is missing on the exam.

(a) Multiplying the PDE by a test-function  $v$  which vanishes at the boundary and integrating by parts, we get  $(A\nabla u, \nabla v) + (b \cdot \nabla u, v) + (cu, v) = (f, v)$ . Define  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ , the variational formulation reads: find  $u \in V$  such that

$$a(u, v) = (A\nabla u, \nabla v) + (b \cdot \nabla u, v) + (cu, v) = (f, v) := \ell(v), \quad \forall v \in V.$$

For the Galerkin approximation we consider a subspace  $V_h \subset V$ , find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that

$$a(u_h, v) = \ell(v), \quad \forall v \in V_h.$$

(b) The assumptions are  $(A\nabla u, \nabla u) \geq a_0(\nabla u, \nabla u)$ ,  $c - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot b > c_0 > 0$ , and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Note also that

$$0 = (n \cdot bu^2, 1)_{\partial\Omega} = (\nabla \cdot (bu^2), 1)_\Omega = ((\nabla \cdot b)u, u)_\Omega + 2(b \cdot \nabla u, u)_\Omega. \quad (1)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, u) &= (A\nabla u, \nabla u) + (b \cdot \nabla u, u) + (cu, u) \geq a_0(\nabla u, \nabla u) + (cu, u) - \frac{1}{2}((\nabla \cdot b)u, u) \\ &\geq a_0(\nabla u, \nabla u) + c_0(u, u) = a_0\|\nabla u\|^2 + c_0\|u\|^2 \geq \min(a_0, c_0)\|u\|_V^2 = m\|u\|_V^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for coercivity,

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) &= (A\nabla u, \nabla v) + (b \cdot \nabla u, v) + (cu, v) \\ &\leq \|A\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|\|\nabla v\| + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|\|v\| + \|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|u\|\|v\| \\ &\leq C_{ab}\|\nabla u\|\|\nabla v\| + C_c\|u\|\|v\| \leq C\|u\|_V\|v\|_V \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for continuity, and

$$\ell(v) = (f, v) = \|f\|\|v\| \leq \|f\|\|v\|_V, \quad (4)$$

which together show that the Lax-Milgram lemma can be applied.

(c) We have the basic Galerkin orthogonality

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V_h. \quad (5)$$

We start by proving Cea's lemma and then use the interpolation estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_V^2 &\leq \frac{1}{m}a(u - u_h, u - u_h) = \frac{C_a}{m}a(u - u_h, u - v) \\ &\leq \frac{C_a}{m}\|u - u_h\|_V\|u - v\|_V. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We obtain for a Poincaré constant  $C_p$ ,

$$\|u - u_h\|_V \leq \frac{C_a}{m}\|u - v\|_V \leq \frac{C_a C_p}{m}\|\nabla(u - \pi u)\| \leq \frac{C_a C_p C_\pi}{m}h^{\min(2,p)}|u|_{H^3(\Omega)}. \quad (7)$$

(d) First is to show that the solution the the variational problem minimize the functional  $F(v) = \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) - \ell(v)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F(u+v) &= \frac{1}{2}a(u+v, u+v) - F(u+v) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(a(u, u) + 2a(u, v) + a(v, v)) - \ell(u) - \ell(v) \\
&= F(u) + a(u, v) - \ell(u) + \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) \\
&\geq F(u).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then we must show that a minimizer to  $F(v)$  solves the variational problem. Let  $g(\epsilon) = F(u + \epsilon v)$ , we obtain

$$g(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}a(u + \epsilon v, u + \epsilon v) - \ell(u + \epsilon v). \tag{9}$$

Differentiating  $g$  with respect the  $\epsilon$ , we have

$$g'(\epsilon) = a(u, v) - \epsilon a(v, v) - \ell(v) \tag{10}$$

and setting  $\epsilon = 0$  we have the variational formulation. Note that  $V_h \subset V$ , we can concludes the proof.

## Question 2

(a) We have the system

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_1(1) & L_1(r) & L_1(s) & L_1(t) & L_1(rs) & L_1(rt) & L_1(st) & L_1(rst) \\ L_2(1) & L_2(r) & L_2(s) & L_2(t) & L_2(rs) & L_2(rt) & L_2(st) & L_2(rst) \\ L_3(1) & L_3(r) & L_3(s) & L_3(t) & L_3(rs) & L_3(rt) & L_3(st) & L_3(rst) \\ L_4(1) & L_4(r) & L_4(s) & L_4(t) & L_4(rs) & L_4(rt) & L_4(st) & L_4(rst) \\ L_5(1) & L_5(r) & L_5(s) & L_5(t) & L_5(rs) & L_5(rt) & L_5(st) & L_5(rst) \\ L_6(1) & L_6(r) & L_6(s) & L_6(t) & L_6(rs) & L_6(rt) & L_6(st) & L_6(rst) \\ L_7(1) & L_7(r) & L_7(s) & L_7(t) & L_7(rs) & L_7(rt) & L_7(st) & L_7(rst) \\ L_8(1) & L_8(r) & L_8(s) & L_8(t) & L_8(rs) & L_8(rt) & L_8(st) & L_8(rst) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \\ c_7 \\ c_8 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

Which is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \\ c_7 \\ c_8 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

(b) We have

$$\|u - u_h\|_h \leq \|u - v\|_h + \|v - u_h\|_h \tag{13}$$

for  $v \in V_h$ . For the first term choose  $v$  as  $\sup_{v \in V_h} \|u - v\|_h$ . For the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v - u_h\|_h^2 &\leq \frac{1}{m} a_h(v - u_h, v - u_h) = \frac{1}{m} a_h(v - u + u - u_h, v - u_h) \\
&= \frac{1}{m} (a_h(v - u, v - u_h) + a_h(u - u_h, v - u_h)) \\
&= \frac{1}{m} (C_\alpha \|v - u\|_h \|v - u_h\|_h + a_h(u, v - u_h) - \ell(v - u_h))
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - u_h\|_h &\leq \frac{1}{m} \left( C_\alpha \|v - u\|_h + \frac{a_h(u, v - u_h) - \ell(v - u_h)}{\|v - u_h\|_h} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \left( C_\alpha \|v - u\|_h + \sup_{w \in V_h} \frac{|a_h(u, w) - \ell(w)|}{\|w\|_h} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Added the bound for the first and second term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_h &\leq \left(1 + \frac{C_\alpha}{m}\right) \inf_{v \in V_h} \|u - v\|_h + \frac{1}{m} \sup_{w \in V_h} \frac{|a_h(u, w) - \ell(w)|}{\|w\|_h} \\ &\leq C \left( \inf_{v \in V_h} \|u - v\|_h + \sup_{w \in V_h} \frac{|a_h(u, w) - \ell(w)|}{\|w\|_h} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for  $C = 1 + \frac{C_\alpha}{m}$ .

### Question 3

(a) Lets try to bound the gradient of the solution in terms of data. We have the variational form: find  $u \in V = H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\epsilon(\nabla u, \nabla v) + (b \cdot \nabla u, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (17)$$

We obtain

$$\epsilon \|\nabla u\|^2 = \epsilon(\nabla u, \nabla u) + (b \cdot \nabla u, u) = (f, u) \leq \|f\| \|u\| \leq C_{P-F} \|f\| \|\nabla u\|. \quad (18)$$

where we used that

$$0 = (n \cdot bu^2, 1)_{\partial\Omega} = (\nabla \cdot (bu^2), 1)_\Omega = ((\nabla \cdot b)u, u)_\Omega + 2(b \cdot \nabla u, u)_\Omega. \quad (19)$$

together with  $\nabla \cdot b = 0$ .

(b) First from the continuous problem we have that  $u$  solves: find  $u \in V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\epsilon(\nabla u, \nabla v) + (b \cdot \nabla u, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (20)$$

For the remaining part we have,

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} (-\epsilon \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u, -\epsilon \Delta v + b \cdot \nabla v) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} (f, -\epsilon \Delta v + b \cdot \nabla v). \quad (21)$$

Also since,  $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap V$ , we have that  $-\epsilon \Delta : H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ , but  $-\epsilon \Delta|_T : H^1(T) \not\rightarrow L^2(T)$  is not true in general, so we can not test for all  $v \in V$ . The elementwise operator  $-\epsilon \Delta|_T : V_h \rightarrow L^2(T)$  is true since all  $v \in V_h$  are polynomials of finite dimension. Multiplying the original equation with a test function in  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$  defined as  $w_T = -\epsilon \Delta v + b \cdot \nabla v \in L^2(T)$  on element  $T$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon \Delta u, w) + (b \cdot \nabla u, w) &= (f, w) \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} (-\epsilon \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u, w) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} (f, w), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all  $v \in V_h$ . Using the first and second part together we obtain that that  $u \in H^2 \cap V$  satisfies

$$a_h(u, v) = \ell(v) \quad \forall v \in V_h. \quad (23)$$

**Question 4**

(a) A typical Picard iteration for the problem is

$$-\nabla \cdot (c(u_k) \nabla u_{k+1}) = f.$$

With  $u_k$  as given we find by linearity that

$$-\nabla \cdot (c(u_k) \nabla (u_k - u_{k+1})) = r_k,$$

with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

(b) The suggested iteration is clearly consistent since it is satisfied identically by any solution  $u$  to the PDE itself. Using the boundary conditions we readily find the variational formulation find  $u_{k+1} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\nabla v, a(u_k) \nabla u_{k+1}) = (v, f) + (\nabla v, b(u_k) \nabla u_k)$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . This implies the FEM

$$\begin{aligned} A_k \xi^{k+1} &= b + B_k \xi^k, \\ A_k(i, j) &= (\nabla \varphi_i, a(U_k) \nabla \varphi_j), \\ B_k(i, j) &= (\nabla \varphi_i, b(U_k) \nabla \varphi_j), \\ b_i &= (\varphi_i, f), \\ U_k &= \sum_j \xi_j^k \varphi_j. \end{aligned}$$

(c) By taking  $v = u_{k+1}$  in the variational formulation we produce the energy estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|\nabla u_{k+1}\|^2 &\leq (\nabla u_{k+1}, a(u_k) \nabla u_{k+1}) = (u_{k+1}, f) + (\nabla u_{k+1}, b(u_k) \nabla u_k) \\ &\leq \|u_{k+1}\| \|f\| + \beta \|\nabla u_{k+1}\| \|\nabla u_k\| \leq C \|f\| \|\nabla u_{k+1}\| + \beta \|\nabla u_{k+1}\| \|\nabla u_k\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence a suitable *a priori* bound is

$$\|\nabla u_{k+1}\| \leq \alpha^{-1} C \|f\| + \alpha^{-1} \beta \|\nabla u_k\|,$$

which if  $\kappa := \alpha^{-1} \beta < 1$  can be iterated to give

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha^{-1} C \|f\| + \kappa \alpha^{-1} C \|f\| + \kappa^2 \|\nabla u_{k-1}\| \leq \dots \\ &\leq (1 + \kappa + \dots + \kappa^k) \alpha^{-1} C \|f\| + \kappa^{k+1} \|\nabla u_0\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^{-1} C \|f\|}{1 - \kappa} + \|\nabla u_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Here we show that the LM lemma is applicable, but the problem is symmetric so the RR theorem is also applicable. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |l(v)| &= |(v, f) + (\nabla v, b(u_k) \nabla u_k)| \leq \\ &\leq \max(\|f\|, \beta \|\nabla u_k\|) \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \\ |a(u, v)| &= |(\nabla v, a(u_k) \nabla u)| \leq \\ &\leq A_k \|\nabla v\| \|\nabla u\| \leq A_k \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a(u_k) \leq A_k$  (recall that  $a$  is bounded). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, u) &\geq \alpha \|\nabla u\|^2 \geq \frac{\alpha}{C^2} \|u\|^2, \\ \implies a(u, u) &\geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \min(1, 1/C^2) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(e) We arrive at the Newton method by linearising around the previous iterate  $u_k$ . Put  $u_{k+1} = u_k + \delta$ . Then this is achieved by  $a(u_{k+1})\nabla u_{k+1} = a(u_k + \delta)\nabla(u_k + \delta) = a(u_k)\nabla(u_k + \delta) + \delta a'(u_k)\nabla u_k + O(\delta^2)$ . The variational formulation becomes find  $u_{k+1} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\nabla v, a(u_k)\nabla u_{k+1}) + (\nabla v, u_{k+1}a'(u_k)\nabla u_k) = (v, f) + (\nabla v, b(u_k)\nabla u_k) + (\nabla v, u_k a'(u_k)\nabla u_k)$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . The FEM becomes

$$(A_k + C_k)\xi^{k+1} = b + (B_k + C_k)\xi^k,$$

with matrices  $A$  and  $B$  as before and with

$$C_k(i, j) = (\nabla\varphi_i, \varphi_j a'(U_k)\nabla U_k).$$