# Lecture 8: Pole placement and observers

#### **Outline**

- Pole placement in state-space form
- Observers
- Feedback from reconstructed states

### State feedback controller

Continuous time LTI

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

State feedback:  $u(t) = -Kx(t) + K_r r(t)$ 

Closed-loop continuous time

Closed-loop discrete time

$$\dot{x}(t) = (A - BK)x(t) + BK_r r(t)$$

$$x(t+1) = (A - BK)x(t) + BK_r r(t)$$

- The aim of control is to drive the output y to a given reference value r.
- Controller design:
  - Select Kr so that the steady state output to be equal to the reference value r.
  - Select K so that A-BK corresponds to an asymptotically stable system.
- Stability of the closed-loop system is defined by the eigenvalues of A-BK
- Kr does not affect the stability of the closed-loop system but does affect the steady state values.

## Pole placement by state feedback

• The feedback gain K can be selected so that A-BK attains arbitrary predefined eigenvalues if and only if the controllability matrix has full rank

rank 
$$S(A, B) = n$$
  
 $S(A, B) = \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \dots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix}$ 

• The eigenvalues of *A-BK* are the poles of the closed-loop system, i.e. the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$\alpha_c(A - BK) = \det(sI - A + BK) = 0$$

- •The design procedure that places the eigenvalues of *A-BK* at a set of desired locations is called pole placement
  - Ackermann's formula is used for SISO systems

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}(A, B)^{-1} \alpha_c(A)$$

- More advanced algorithms for MIMO system
- If the pair (A,B) is in controllability canonical form, then the pair (A-BK,B) is also in controllability canonical form.

#### State observers

- The state feedback controller assumes the state vector to be available for measurement.
- The state vector is composed of internal variables that are not necessary measured and their estimates are therefore sought.
- System model is available and can be used for estimation of nonmeasurable internal variables
- Continuous time:  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$  y = Cx
- Discrete time: x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) y(t) = Cx(t)  $\dot{x} = A\hat{x} + Bu; \ \hat{x}(0) = \hat{x}_0; \ \hat{x} \text{state estimate}$
- With known A and B, a mathematical model can be fed with the same control signal as the system itself

### State observers, contd.

State estimation error for the model

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu$$

$$\dot{e} = Ae$$

$$e(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t)$$

$$\dot{e} = Ae$$

$$e(t) = \exp(At)(x_0 - \hat{x}_0)$$

- When A has all the eigenvalues in the left half-plane  $e(t) \to 0, t \to \infty$
- What to do when A does not give fast enough convergence or unstable?
- Use feedback! e(t) cannot be measured but Ce(t) can be.

$$Ce(t) = Cx(t) - C\hat{x}(t) = y - \hat{y}; \ \hat{y} = C\hat{x}$$
  
 $\dot{e} = Ae - LCe$ 

- Observer:
  - Continuous time

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(y - \hat{y})$$

Discrete time

$$\hat{x}(t+1) = A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) + L(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))$$

## Design of state observers

 The feedback gain L is selected to make the state estimation error to go to zero asymptotically

$$\dot{e} = (A - LC)e$$

$$e(t+1) = (A - LC)e(t)$$

- Design problem: choose L so that all eigenvalues of A-LC are within the stability domain.
- Note: any square matrix A has the same eigenvalues as  $A^T$ .

$$\det(sI - A + LC) = \det(sI - A^T + C^T L^T) = 0$$

- Controller: If (A,B) is a controllable pair then the eigenvalues of A-BK can be assigned arbitrarily by the choice of K.
- Observer: If (A,C) is an observable pair then the eigenvalues of A-LC can be assigned arbitrarily by the choice of L.
- If (A,B) is an controllable pair then  $(A^T,B^T)$  is an observable pair.
- Observer design can therefore be reduced to controller design by pole placement in  $A^T$ - $C^TL^T$  that gives the value of L.

### Feedback from reconstructed states

Plant in state space form:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx$$

$$x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

Observer to estimate the states from the output

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(y - \hat{y})$$
  $\hat{x}(t+1) = A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) + L(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))$ 

Feedback stabilizing controller from estimated states:

$$u(t) = -K\hat{x}(t)$$

Feedback stabilizing controller with reference signal

$$u(t) = -Kx(t) + K_r r(t)$$

The closed-loop system:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$\dot{e} = (A - LC)e$$

$$x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$e(t+1) = (A - LC)e(t)$$

### Feedback from reconstructed states, contd

Closed-loop system in state-space form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{e}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A - BK & BK \\ 0 & A - LC \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} BK_r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} r(t)$$
$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t)$$

- Transfer function Y(s)=W(s)R(s)  $W(s)=C(sI-A+BK)^{-1}BK_r$
- The state estimation error is not controllable but observable
- Characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system

$$\det(sI - A + BK)\det(sI - A + LC) = 0$$

 The closed-loop system is stable whenever the following systems are stable:

$$\dot{e} = (A - LC)e \qquad \qquad \dot{x} = (A - BK)x$$

 The dynamics of the observer should be faster than the dynamics of the state feedback controller to alleviate the impact of the state estimation error on the controller performance

# Summary

- For a controllable LTI system with measurable state vector its dynamics can be arbitrarily changed by a static state feedback.
- For an observable system, all the state variables can be estimated from measurements of the input and the output by an observer.
- For an observable and controllable system, its dynamics can be arbitrarily changed by feeding back the estimates of the state variables provided by a state observer.
- The observer and controller design in an observer-based controller should be performed so that the observer dynamics is much faster than the controller dynamics.