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1 Introduction

The Mobility Workbench (MWB) is a tool for manipulating and analyzing mobile concurrent systems described in the π-calculus [MPW92, Mil91], developed by Björn Victor¹, Faron Møller², Lars-Henrik Eriksson³ and Mads Dam⁴. It is written in Standard ML, and currently runs under the New Jersey SML compiler.

In the current version, the two basic functionalities are equivalence checking and model checking.

The tool implements algorithms [Vic94] to decide the open bisimulation equivalences of Sangiorgi [San93], for agents in the polyadic π-calculus with the original positive match operator. This is decidable for π-calculus agents with finite control, corresponding to CCS finite-state agents, which do not admit parallel composition within recursively defined agents.

The algorithm is based on the alternative "efficient" characterizations of the equivalences described in [San93, Vic94], and generates the state space "on the fly". Algorithms for both the strong and weak equivalences are implemented.

The tool also contains an experimental implementation of Mads Dam's model checker [Dam93].

There are also commands e.g. for finding deadlocks and interactively simulating an agent.

We refer to [MPW92, Mil91, San93, Vic94, Dam93] for the formal framework of the tool, the π-calculus, the definition of the equivalences, the modal logic, etc.

The MWB is undergoing constant and dynamic changes. This guide describes the current version as of October 1995. Some parts of the guide will be rewritten, and a section on sortings will be added.

2 Input syntax

Input lines can be split using the continuation character "\" at the end of an input line, or (perhaps preferably) by wrapping things in parentheses. Anything between "(*) and "*)" is a comment and is treated as whitespace. Note that comments cannot (at present) be nested.

The syntax of agents is given by the following grammar:

\[
P ::= 0 | \alpha P | pfx_P | [a = b]P | P_1 | P_2 | P_1 + P_2 | \langle nlist \rangle P | \langle nlist \rangle P | ([nlist] P) (P)
\]

where \( nlist \) is a (non-empty) comma-separated list of names; \( \alpha \) is an action: \( \tau \) (silent) or a name (input) or a co-name (output); \( pfx \) is an abbreviated prefix (see below); and \( \text{Id} \) is a name starting with an upper-case letter. Names must start with a lowercase letter but can after that include the characters \_ \$, \', numbers and digits. The parallel operator \( | \) binds weaker than summand +. Both bind weaker than prefix . and match [. .].

¹Department of Computer Systems, Uppsala University, Box 325, S-751 05 Uppsala, Sweden; email: Bjorn.Victor@cs.uu.se. Work supported by the ESPRIT BRA project 6454 "CONFER".
²SICS, Box 1262, S-164 28 Kista, Sweden; email: fm@sics.se. Work supported by the ESPRIT BRA projects 7166 "CONCUR" and 6454 "CONFER".
³Logikkonsult NP AB, Swedenborgsgatan 2, S-118 48 Stockholm, Sweden; email: lhe@lk.se. Work supported by the ESPRIT BRA projects 6454 "CONFER" and 8130 "LOMAPS".
⁴SICS, Box 1263, S-164 28 Kista, Sweden; email: mfd@sics.se. Work supported by the ESPRIT BRA project 8130 "LOMAPS".
Figure 1: Syntax of formulae

The following translations and shorthands are used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>^</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\</td>
<td>\lambda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’a</td>
<td>\pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>\tau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(nlist)</td>
<td>a.(\nlist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’a&lt;nlist&gt;</td>
<td>’a.[nlist]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Model checking

The syntax of formulae is given by the grammar in Figure 1.

A brief description of the semantics is given in Figure 2. For full details, please refer to [Dam93].

Note that modalities bind the action. That is, given a formula such as \(<x>P\), \(x\) is bound in \(P\) to the name of the action of some transition the agent can perform. Example: \(a.A + b.B \models <x>P\) iff \(A \models P[a/x] \) or \(B \models P[b/x]\). Another example: \(a.A + b.B \models [x]P\) iff \(A \models P[a/x] \) and \(B \models P[b/x]\).

Modal logics often use another semantics where the actual name of the action is inside the diamond or box, rather than a bound variable. To achieve the same effect with our semantics, write:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Other semantics} & \quad \text{Our semantics} \\
[a]P & \quad [x]([a\#x]\)P\) \\
<\text{a}>P & \quad \langle x\rangle(a \equiv x\& P)\)
\end{align*}
\]

Note also that, because of implementation issues, fixpoint formulae must be closed. E.g. \(\mu D. \langle x=(b\&D)\rangle\) is invalid, but the equivalent formula (\(\mu D(b). \langle x=(b\&D(b))\rangle\)) is OK. This will be remedies in the near future.
\[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline
A \models TT & \text{Always true.} \\
A \models FF & \text{Always false.} \\
A \models a = b & \text{True iff } a \text{ and } b \text{ are the same names.} \\
A \models a \neq b & \text{True iff } a \text{ and } b \text{ are different names.} \\
A \models P \land Q & \text{True iff } A \models P \text{ and } A \models Q. \\
A \models P\lor Q & \text{True iff } A \models P \text{ or } A \models Q. \\
A \models \neg P & \text{True iff not } A \models P. \\
A \models \lt x \rt P & \text{True iff the agent can commit to some input action} \\
& A \succ a.A' \text{ and } A' \models P\{a/x\}. \\
A \models \lt' x \rt P & \text{True iff the agent can commit to some output action} \\
& A \succ' a.A' \text{ and } A' \models P\{a/x\}. \\
A \models [x]P & \text{True iff for every input commitment } A \succ a.A' \text{ the} \\
& \text{agent can perform, } A' \models P\{a/x\}. \\
A \models [x]' P & \text{True iff for every output commitment } A \succ' a.A' \\
& \text{the agent can perform, } A' \models P\{a/x\}. \\
[a]A \models \Sigma x. P & \text{True iff } A \models P\{a/x\}. \\
(\gamma y)[y]A \models \Sigma x. P & \text{True iff } A\{a/y\} \models P\{a/x\}, \text{ where } a \text{ is a new} \\
& \text{name.}^5 \\
A \models (\sigma D(x_1, \ldots, x_n). P)(a_1, \ldots, a_n) & \text{Fixpoint formula. True iff the appropriate fixpoint} \\
& \text{of } P \text{ is true. } \sigma \text{ should be } \nu \text{ for the greatest fixpoint} \\
& \text{or } \mu \text{ for the least fixpoint. The fixpoint} \\
& \text{is a predicate with formal arguments } x_1, \ldots, x_n \\
& \text{and actual arguments } a_1, \ldots, a_n. \text{ Within } P, D \text{ is} \\
& \text{bound to the fixpoint expression itself.} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Figure 2: Brief semantics of formulae.
3 Commands of the MWB

3.1 help
gives a general help text. ? (questionmark) is a synonym for this command.

3.1.1 help command
gives a help text for command.

3.2 quit
terminates the program. End-of-file (typically Control-D) is a synonym for this command.

3.3 agent
defines an agent identifier. Two equivalent examples:

\[
\text{agent } P(x, y) = (z)'x<y,z>,y(x, y).P<y,x>
\]
\[
\text{agent } P = (\lambda x,y)(z)'x.[y,z]y. (\lambda x,y)P<y,x>
\]

An agent definition must be closed, i.e., its free names must be a subset of the argument list. Only guarded recursion is handled.

3.4 clear
removes agent identifier definitions. clear P removes the definition of the agent identifier P, while clear without an argument removes all definitions.

3.5 env
prints all agent definitions in the environment. env P shows the definition of the agent identifier P.

3.6 input "filename"
reads commands from the file named filename. The double quotes are part of the syntax but not of the filename.

3.7 eq agent_1 agent_2
dejets whether agent_1 and agent_2 are strong open bisimulation equivalent.

If the two agents are equivalent, a bisimulation relation is available\(^6\) for inspection by the user.

3.8 eqd \((name_1,\ldots,name_n)\) agent_1 agent_2
dejets whether agent_1 and agent_2 are strong open bisimulation equivalent given the distinction formed by making name_1,\ldots,name_n distinct from all free names in agent_1 and agent_2. \(\{name_1,\ldots,name_n\}\) should be a subset of the free names of agent_1 and agent_2. (Names not free in agent_1 or agent_2 are meaningless and are simply removed).

\(^6\)If MWB is running interactively, i.e., not reading commands from a file.
3.9  \texttt{weq} \textit{agent}\textsubscript{1} \textit{agent}\textsubscript{2}

decides whether \textit{agent}\textsubscript{1} and \textit{agent}\textsubscript{2} are \textit{weak open bisimulation equivalent}.

3.10  \texttt{weqd} (\textit{name}\textsubscript{1}, \ldots, \textit{name}\textsubscript{n}) \textit{agent}\textsubscript{1} \textit{agent}\textsubscript{2}

decides whether \textit{agent}\textsubscript{1} and \textit{agent}\textsubscript{2} are \textit{weak open bisimulation equivalent given}
the distinction formed by making \textit{name}\textsubscript{1}, \ldots, \textit{name}\textsubscript{n} distinct from all free names
in \textit{agent}\textsubscript{1} and \textit{agent}\textsubscript{2}.  \{\textit{name}\textsubscript{1}, \ldots, \textit{name}\textsubscript{n}\} should be a subset of the free names
of \textit{agent}\textsubscript{1} and \textit{agent}\textsubscript{2}.

3.11  \texttt{check} \textit{agent} \textit{formula}

Responds \textit{yes} if the \textit{agent} is a model for the \textit{formula}, otherwise \textit{no}.

3.12  \texttt{sort} \textit{agent}

Displays the object sort and most general sorting of \textit{agent}, or gives an error
message if the \textit{agent} doesn't respect any sorting.

3.13  \texttt{deadlocks} \textit{agent}

finds and describes deadlocks in the \textit{agent} given as argument. It displays the
agent in which the deadlock is found.

The deadlocks are displayed as they are found, which makes the command
useful even if the state space is infinite.

3.14  \texttt{step} \textit{agent}

interactively simulates the \textit{agent}, by presenting the possible commitments of
the \textit{agent} and letting the user select one, and repeating this until there are no
possible commitments. Typing \texttt{q} terminates the simulation.

3.15  \texttt{size} \textit{agent}

gives a low measure of the graph size of the \textit{agent}. This is not always minimal,
but the agent space being explored by the equivalence checking commands is
possibly larger.

3.16  \texttt{time} \textit{command}

performs the \textit{command}\textsuperscript{7} and prints timing information for its execution.

3.17  \texttt{set}

sets various parameters of the MWB. \texttt{set} \? shows what can be set.

3.17.1  \texttt{set debug} \textit{n}

sets the debugging level of the program.  \textit{n} should be a non-negative integer;
the only value we expect to be valuable to users other than the developers is \texttt{0}
(meaning debugging is turned off). The use of this command for higher values
of \textit{n} is discouraged, and as such is left undocumented here.

\footnote{in non-interactive mode}
3.17.2 set threshold \( n \)

sets the rehashing threshold of the internal hashtables to \( n \% \). \( n \) should be between 1 and 100; its initial value is 30.

3.17.3 set remember on/off

sets whether commitments are recorded in hashtables whenever they are computed, so as to save computational work. For large agents, this may require large amounts of memory. Using set remember off lowers the memory requirements, but may instead increase the runtime.

3.17.4 set rewrite on/off

sets the automatic rewrite flag on or off. With rewriting on, \((\mu x)P \Rightarrow 0\) if \(\forall a : P \Rightarrow a.P', u(a) = x\). Since the commitments of \( P \) are computed to see if the rewrite is applicable, we do not recommend using set rewrite on in combination with set remember off. With set remember on however, there is no extra cost for computing these commitments.

3.18 show

shows various parameters of the MWB. show ? shows what can be shown.

3.18.1 show debug

shows the debug level.

3.18.2 show threshold

shows the rehash threshold.

3.18.3 show remember

shows the remember setting.

3.18.4 show version

shows the version of the MWB.

3.18.5 show all

shows all of the above.

3.18.6 show tables

shows the sizes etc of the internal hash tables used for recording commitments.

4 Example use

In Figure 3 we have a sample session which demonstrates some simple usage.

In the sample session, we first define an agent Buf1 implementing a one-place buffer, then another, Buf2, implementing a two-place buffer by composing two instances of Buf1, and finally three agents, Buf20, Buf21 and Buf22, together implementing a two-place buffer without parallel composition.
The Mobility Workbench
(Polyadic version 3.122)

MWB> agent Buf1(i,o) = i(x).'?o<x>.Buf1(i,o)
MWB> agent Buf2(i,o) = ('m)(Buf1(i,m) | Buf1(m,o))
MWB> agent Buf20(i,o) = i(x).Buf21(i,o,x)
MWB> agent Buf21(i,o,x) = i(y).Buf22(i,o,x,y) + '?o<x>.Buf20(i,o)
MWB> agent Buf22(i,o,x,y) = '?o<x>.Buf21(i,o,y)

MWB> weq Buf2(i,o) Buf20(i,o)
The two agents are related.
Relation size = 18. Do you want to see it? (y or n) y
R= < ('v2) i.(\langle x\rangle)'v2.[x]Buf1<\langle v2,i\rangle> | v2.(\langle x\rangle)?i.[x]Buf1<\langle v2,i\rangle>.
i.(\langle x\rangle)Buf21<\langle i,x\rangle> > {}'
'
MWB> step Buf2(i,o)
0: |>i.(\langle v2\rangle)(\langle v3\rangle)[v2]Buf1<\langle i,v3\rangle> | v3.(\langle x\rangle)'o.[x]Buf1<\langle v3,o\rangle>
Step> 0
Abstraction (\langle v2\rangle)
0: |>t.(\langle v3\rangle)i.(\langle x\rangle)'v3.[x]Buf1<\langle i,v3\rangle> | 'o.[v2]Buf1<\langle v3,o\rangle>
Step> 0
0: |[i=o]t. ('v3)(\langle v3\rangle)[v2]Buf1<\langle i,v3\rangle> | v3.(\langle x\rangle)'o.[x]Buf1<\langle v3,o\rangle>
1: |>i.(\langle v3\rangle)(\langle v4\rangle)[v3]Buf1<\langle i,v4\rangle> | 'o.[v2]Buf1<\langle v4,o\rangle>
2: |>o.[v2]('v3)i.(\langle x\rangle)'v3.[x]Buf1<\langle i,v3\rangle> | v3.(\langle x\rangle)'o.[x]Buf1<\langle v3,o\rangle>
Step> 1
Abstraction (\langle v3\rangle)
0: |>o.[v2]('v4)(\langle v4\rangle)[v2]Buf1<\langle i,v4\rangle> | v4.(\langle x\rangle)'o.[x]Buf1<\langle v4,o\rangle>
Step> quit

MWB> agent Buf22 = (\langle i,o,x,y\rangle)'o.[x]Buf21<\langle i,o,y\rangle> + [i=o]t.0

MWB> weq Buf2 Buf20
The two agents are NOT related.

MWB> weq (i) Buf2(i,o) Buf20(i,o)
The two agents are related.
Relation size = 8. Do you want to see it? (y or n) y
R= < ('v2) i.(\langle x\rangle)'v2.[x]Buf1<\langle i,v2\rangle> | v2.(\langle x\rangle)'o.[x]Buf1<\langle v2,o\rangle>.
i.(\langle x\rangle)Buf21<\langle i,x\rangle> > {i#o}
'

Figure 3: A simple sample session with the MWB.
We proceed with this example by comparing the two implementations for weak equality. The MWB responds by saying that they are equivalent and that it found a bisimulation relation with 18 tuples, and asks us if we want to inspect it. We respond positively and the MWB prints out the relation as a list of pairs of agents with associated distinction sets.

We then simulate the behaviour of the agent 
\[ \text{Buf2}(i, o) \]. The MWB presents the possible commitments, including their least necessary conditions (if not trivial), and prompts the user to select one of them. When the user selects a commitment whose derivative is an abstraction or concretion, the bound names are instantiated automatically. After having a single choice on the first two steps, we then get a choice of three commitments; the first which is possible only if the names \( i \) and \( o \) are the same.

Next, we change the definition of \( \text{Buf2} \) to introduce a possible deadlock and again check for weak equivalence between \( \text{Buf2} \) and \( \text{Buf20} \), this time as abstractions, without instantiating their arguments. We find that they are not equivalent, and proceed by trying to equate \( \text{Buf2}(i, o) \) and \( \text{Buf20}(i, o) \) under the proviso that \( i \) is different from all other free names of the two agents (namely \( o \)). Under this distinction, there are no deadlocks, and the MWB reports that they are once again equivalent.

5 Availability

The MWB is available by anonymous FTP from the host ftp.docs.uu.se in the directory pub/mwb. The file README contains further directions and information. An up-to-date version of this guide is always part of the distribution.

Binary executables are provided for some architectures and operating systems. Source code is also provided which can be compiled with the SML-NJ compiler. SML-NJ is currently available from the host ftp.research.att.com, directory dist/ml and the host princeton.edu, directory pub/ml.

There is also information on the MWB available on the World Wide Web, in the URL http://www.docs.uu.se/~victor/mwb.html.

Any bug reports, queries, feedback etc should be sent to:

email: mwb-bugs@DoCS.UU.SE
fax: +46 18 550225
mail: Björn Victor
Dept. of Computer Systems
Uppsala University
Box 325
S-751 05 Uppsala
SWEDEN
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