

Fixed-Priority Multiprocessor Scheduling with Liu & Layland's Utilization Bound

Nan Guan, Martin Stigge, Wang Yi

Uppsala University, Sweden



Outline



Previous Results

Our New Result



Scheduling of Multi-task System

multi-rate real-time task system



each task

Utilization: C_i/T_i





Liu and Layland's Utilization Bound

 Liu and Layland's utilization bound for single-processor scheduling [Liu1973] (the 19th most cited paper in computer science)

$$\Theta(N) = N(2^{\frac{1}{N}} - 1)$$

■ N: the number of tasks, $N \to \infty$, $\Theta(N) \doteq 69.3\%$ ■ optimal



> the task set is schedulable



Multiprocessor Scheduling

Significantly more difficult

- Bin-packing problem
- Hard to identify the worst-case scenario
- Suffer from timing anomalies
- May lead to arbitrarily low utilization



□ find a multiprocessor scheduling algorithm that can achieve Liu and Layland's utilization bound

$$\frac{\sum C_i/T_i}{M} \leq N(2^{1/N} - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ the task set is schedulable}$$
number of processors



Multiprocessor Scheduling





Best Known Results





Best Known Results





Best Known Results





□ sort all tasks in decreasing order of utilization













































pick up one processor, and assign as many tasks as possible





highest utilization **2**²



pick up one processor, and assign as many tasks as possible

lowest utilization





pick up one processor, and assign as many tasks as possible

lowest utilization

highest utilization





pick up one processor, and assign as many tasks as possible

lowest utilization

highest utilization



key feature: depth-first partitioning with decreasing utilization order



pick up one processor, and assign as many tasks as possible

lowest utilization

highest utilization





width-first partitioning with increasing priority order



□ sort all tasks in increasing priority order









































select the processor on which the assigned utilization is the lowest

lowest priority

highest priority





select the processor on which the assigned utilization is the lowest

lowest priority

highest priority

key feature: width-first partitioning with increasing prio order





Comparison

7

maximal number of task splitting both are M-1

Ours: width-first (increasing priority order)

Lehoczky's: depth-first (decreasing utilization order)







Comparison

P1

61

7

8

why is our algorithm better?

Ours: width-first (increasing priority order) Lehoczky's: depth-first (decreasing utilization order)







Comparison

key point: by our algorithm, split tasks generally have high priorities on each processor

Ours: width-first (increasing priority order) Lehoczky's: depth-first (decreasing utilization order)





Split Task





Split Task



original utilization: synthetic utilization:

$$U_i^k = c_i^k / T_i$$
$$V_i^k = c_i^k / \triangle_i^k$$

 $V_i^k > U_i^k$

split tasks cause "utilization increase"



□ intuition

high priority tasks have better chance to meet their deadlines





• • • • • •



□ intuition

- an extreme scenario:
 - each subtask has the highest priority on each processor
 - □ can meet their deadlines anyway
 - no "utilization increase"







for a task set in with each task τ_i satisfies $U_i \le \frac{\Theta(N)}{1 + \Theta(N)}$ we have $\frac{\sum C_i/T_i}{M} \le N(2^{1/N} - 1)$ the task set is schedulable \Rightarrow

 $\Theta(N) = N(2^{\frac{1}{N}} - 1) \quad \frac{\Theta(N)}{1 + \Theta(N)} \doteq 0.41 \quad \text{reasonable constraint} \\ \text{in real-life systems}$





























































highest priority





□ To get rid of the constraint

$$U_i \le \frac{\Theta(N)}{1 + \Theta(N)}$$

pre-assign tasks with high utilization

















By introducing the pre-assignment to the algorithm, we have

$$\frac{\sum C_i/T_i}{M} \le N(2^{1/N} - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ the task set is schedulable}$$



Conclusion

Proposed multiprocessor scheduling algorithms with Liu and Layland's utilization bound

- works on "light" task sets with a simple width-first algorithm
- works on any task set with a hybrid algorithm pre-assigning



Conclusion





THANKS!



- □ [Liu1973] C.L. Liu and James Layland, Scheduling Algorithms for Multiprogramming in a Hard-Real-Time Environment
- [Andersson03ECRTS] Bjorn Andersson, Jan Jonsson: The Utilization Bounds of partitioned and Pfair Static-Priority Scheduling on multiprocessors are 50%. ECRTS 2003: 33-40
- □ [Andersson080P0DIS] Bjorn Andersson: Global Static-Priority Preemptive Multiprocessor Scheduling with Utilization Bound 38%. OP0DIS 2008: 73-88
- [Andersson06RTCSA] Bjorn Andersson, Eduardo Tovar: Multiprocessor Scheduling with Few preemption. RTCSA 2006: 322-334
- [Andersson01RTSS] Bjorn Andersson, Sanjoy K. Baruah, Jan Jonsson: Static-Priority Scheduling on multiprocessors. RTSS 2001: 193-202
- □ **[Baker05TPDS]** Theodore P. Baker: An Analysis of EDF Schedulability on a Multiprocessor. IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst. 16(8): 760-768 (2005)
- [Lakshmanan09ECRTS] Karthik Lakshmanan, Ragunathan Rajkumar, John Lehoczky Partitioned Fixed-Priority Preemptive Scheduling for Multi-core Processors. ECRTS 20006
- □ **[Lopez04RTSS]** J. M. Lopez, J. L. Diaz, and D. F. Garcia, "Utilization Bounds for EDF Scheduling on Real-Time Multiprocessor Systems", RTSS 2004.
- [Oh98] D. Oh and T. P. Baker. Utilization bounds for n-processor Rate Monotone scheduling with static processor assignment. In Real-Time Systems, 1998.