Feedback on Oral Presentation and "opposition"

Visual aids
- layout well thought through
- appropriate use of images to reinforce content
- slide text easy to read and not too complex
- good contrast between text and background
- minimal and appropriate use of animation effects

Verbal presentation
- well presented and fluent language
- varied tone and emphasis to engage audience
- good voice projection and production
- eye contact with the audience to gauge audience response
- appropriate use of speakers notes, where necessary

Technical content
- was accessible to a non-expert audience
- provided sufficient technical depth to allow the contribution to be evaluated
- did not concentrate on specifics at the cost of conveying the overall message
- was logically presented allowing the audience to follow the technical background, innovative practices, evaluation and outcomes of the project described in the report

Ability to answer questions
- demonstrated mastery of the technical area
- could reason about design choices and trade offs with the audience
- demonstrated understanding of the limitations and strengths of the work presented

Opposition
- demonstrated a clear understanding of the text
- provided a balanced evaluation of the positive aspects of the report
- identified and provided constructive avenues for improvement of the weaker areas of the report
- demonstrates ability to read, analyse and dissect a technical text and provide advice on how it might be improved.