Lecture 5

## Instrumental Variable Methods (IVM) (Ch. 8)

Main Idea: Modify the LS method to be consistent also for correlated disturbances.

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 1/ 18

The least squares estimate

$$\hat{oldsymbol{ heta}} = \left[ rac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} oldsymbol{arphi}(t) oldsymbol{arphi}^T(t) 
ight]^{-1} \left[ rac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} oldsymbol{arphi}(t) \mathbf{y}(t) 
ight]$$

has the estimation error (when  $N \to \infty$ )

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = E \left[ \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T(t) \right]^{-1} E \left[ \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(t) \right]$$

Consequently, for  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = 0$  to hold, we must have

$$E\left[\boldsymbol{\varphi}(t)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(t)\right] = 0,$$

which is satisfied if, and essentially only if,  $\varepsilon(t)$  is white noise. Hence, the least squares estimate is not consistent for correlated noise sources!

### Least Squares Method

Consider the ARX model.

$$A(q^{-1})y(t) = B(q^{-1})u(t) + \varepsilon(t)$$

or, equivalently,

$$y(t) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T(t)\boldsymbol{\theta} + \varepsilon(t)$$

where  $\varepsilon(t)$  is the equation error  $(y(t) - y_m(t))$ , and

$$\varphi(t) = [-y(t-1) \dots - y(t-n_a) u(t-1) \dots u(t-n_b)]^T$$
  
$$\theta = [a_1 \dots a_{n_a} b_1 \dots b_{n_b}]^T$$

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 2/ 18

Cure:

- PEM (last lecture). Model the noise.
  - Applicable to general model structures.
  - Generally very good properties of the estimates.
  - Computationally quite demanding.
- Instrumental variable methods (IVM). Do not model the noise.
  - Retain the simple LS structure.
  - Simple and computationally efficient approach.
  - Consistent for correlated noise.
  - Less robust and statistically less effective than PEM.

### The IV method

Introduce a vector  $\mathbf{z}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\theta}}$  with entries uncorrelated with  $\varepsilon(t)$ . Then (for large values of N)

$$0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) \left[ \boldsymbol{y}(t) - \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T(t) \boldsymbol{\theta} \right]$$

which yields (if the inverse exists)

$$\hat{oldsymbol{ heta}} = \left[rac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}oldsymbol{z}(t)oldsymbol{arphi}^T(t)
ight]^{-1}\left[rac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}oldsymbol{z}(t)y(t)
ight]$$

The elements of z(t) are usually called the **instruments**. Note that if  $z(t) = \varphi(t)$ , the IV estimate reduces to the LS estimate.

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 5/ 18

In practice these demands are fulfilled by choosing the instruments to consist of delayed and/or filtered inputs. The instruments are commonly chosen such that

$$\boldsymbol{z}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -\eta(t-1) & \dots & -\eta(t-n_a) & u(t-1) & \dots & u(t-n_b) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

where the signal  $\eta(t)$  is obtained by filtering the input as

$$C(q^{-1})\eta(t) = D(q^{-1})u(t).$$

In the special case when  $C(q^{-1}) = 1$  and  $D(q^{-1}) = -q^{-n_b}$ ,

$$z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u(t-1) & \dots & u(t-n_a-n_b) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

**Rem:** Notice that u(t) and the noise  $\varepsilon(t)$  are assumed to be independent.

#### Choice of Instruments

Obviously, the choice of instruments is very important. They have to be chosen

- (i) such that z(t) is uncorrelated with  $\varepsilon(t)$  ( $Ez(t)\varepsilon(t)=0$ ), and
- (ii) such that the matrix

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t) \quad \rightarrow \quad E \boldsymbol{z}(t) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t)$$

has full rank. In other words it is essential that  $\boldsymbol{z}(t)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(t)$  are correlated.

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 6/ 18

#### Extended IV methods

Recall that the basic IV estimate is derived from

$$\min_{oldsymbol{ heta}} \left\| \sum_{t=1}^{N} oldsymbol{z}(t) arepsilon(t) 
ight\|^{2}$$

More flexibility is obtained if the instrument vector z(t) is augmented to dimension  $n_z$   $(n_z \ge n_\theta)$ , and if we allow for a weighting and a prefiltering of the residuals by some stable filter  $F(q^{-1})$ , i.e.,

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left\| \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) \varepsilon(t) \right\|_{\mathbf{Q}}^{2}$$

where  $||x||_Q^2 = x^T Q x$  and Q is a positive definite weighting matrix.

Inserting

$$\varepsilon(t) = y(t) - \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T(t)\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

vields the so-called extended IV method

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left\| \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t) \right] \boldsymbol{\theta} - \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) y(t) \right] \right\|_{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{2}$$

When  $F(q^{-1}) \equiv 1$  and Q = I, the basic IV method is obtained. Introduce

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t)$$

$$1 \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t)$$

 $m{r}_N = rac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N m{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) y(t)$ 

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 9/ 18

## Theoretical Analysis

Assumptions

- (i) The system is strictly causal and asymptotically stable.
- (ii) The input is persistently exciting of a sufficiently high order.
- (iii) The disturbance is a stationary stochastic process with rational spectral density,

$$\varepsilon(t) = H(q^{-1})e(t), \qquad Ee^2(t) = \lambda^2$$

- (iv) The input and the disturbance are independent.
- (v) The model and the true system have the same transfer function if and only if  $\theta = \theta_0$  (uniqueness).
- (vi) The instruments and the disturbances are uncorrelated.

Then

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \|\mathbf{R}_N \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{r}_N\|_{\mathbf{Q}}^2$$

$$= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} (\mathbf{R}_N \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{r}_N)^T \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{R}_N \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{r}_N)$$

$$= [\mathbf{R}_N^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}_N]^{-1} \mathbf{R}_N^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{r}_N$$

Note that due to numerical instability the algorithm should **not** be implemented in this manner.

**Rem:** Notice that  $R_N$  is in general not a square matrix.

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 10/ 18

Consider the system

$$y(t) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T(t)\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 + \varepsilon(t)$$

Then

$$\mathbf{r}_{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \mathbf{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) y(t)$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \mathbf{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t)}_{\mathbf{R}_{N}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \mathbf{z}(t) F(q^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(t)}_{\mathbf{q}_{N}}$$

$$= \mathbf{R}_{N} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} + \mathbf{q}_{N}$$

Thus

$$\hat{oldsymbol{ heta}} - oldsymbol{ heta}_0 = \left[\mathbf{R}_N^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}_N 
ight]^{-1} \mathbf{R}_N^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{q}_N 
ightarrow \left[\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} 
ight]^{-1} \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{q}_N$$

where

$$\mathbf{R} \triangleq \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbf{R}_N = E\left[\mathbf{z}(t)F(q^{-1})\boldsymbol{\varphi}^T(t)\right]$$
$$\mathbf{q} \triangleq \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbf{q}_N = E\left[\mathbf{z}(t)F(q^{-1})\varepsilon(t)\right]$$

Therefore, the IV estimate will be consistent  $(\lim_{N\to\infty} \hat{\theta} = \theta_0)$  if

- (i) **R** has full rank (inaccurate estimates will be obtained if **R** is nearly rank deficient).
- (ii)  $E\left[\mathbf{z}(t)F(q^{-1})\varepsilon(t)\right] = 0.$

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 13/ 18

# ${\rm Optimal~IVM}$

The main usefulness in being able to express  $\mathbf{P}_{IV}$  lies in the comparison to  $\mathbf{P}_{PEM}$  (recall that PEM is efficient for Gaussian disturbances). An "appropriate" choice of parameters leads to the optimal IVM. For example, (single output)

where  $\tilde{\varphi}(t)$  is the noise-free part of  $\varphi(t)$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{P}_{IV}^{opt} = \lambda^2 \left\{ E \left[ H(q^{-1}) \tilde{\varphi}(t) H(q^{-1}) \tilde{\varphi}^T(t) \right] \right\}^{-1}$$

and  $\mathbf{P}_{IV} \geq \mathbf{P}_{IV}^{opt} \geq \mathbf{P}_{PEM}$ .

Furthermore, the parameter estimation errors are asymptotically Gaussian distributed with zero mean and variance  $\mathbf{P}_{IV}$ 

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \to N(0, \mathbf{P}_{IV})$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_{IV} = \lambda^2 \left( \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} \left( \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} \right)^{-1}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{S} = E\left[F(q^{-1})H(q^{-1})\boldsymbol{z}(t)\right]\left[F(q^{-1})H(q^{-1})\boldsymbol{z}(t)\right]^T$$

**Rem:** For multivariable systems S must be modified.

Lecture 5

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 14/ 18

# Approximative implementation of the optimal IVM

Note that the optimal instruments can not be implemented as it requires knowledge of the undisturbed output, the noise variance  $(\lambda^2)$ , and the shaping filter  $H(q^{-1})$ . Fortunately, it is possible to find an approximate (iterative) implementations.

One way is the following four-step IV estimator:

(i) Use the least-squares estimate of

$$y(t) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T(t)\boldsymbol{\theta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N^{(1)}$$

(ii) Use the IV estimator with the instruments

Lecture 5

$$\mathbf{z}^{(1)}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -x^{(1)}(t-1) & \dots & -x^{(1)}(t-n_a) & u(t-1) & \dots u(t-n_b) \end{bmatrix}$$
where  $x_t^{(1)} = \frac{\hat{B}_N^{(1)}(q^{-1})}{\hat{A}_N^{(1)}(q^{-1})} u_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N^{(2)}.$ 

(iii) Estimate  $H(q^{-1})$ . Postulate an AR model, and use the least-squares method

$$L(q^{-1})\hat{w}_N^{(2)}(t)=e(t), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{L}_N(q^{-1})$$
 where  $\hat{w}_n^{(2)}(t)=\hat{A}_N^{(2)}(q^{-1})y(t)-\hat{B}_N^{(2)}(q^{-1})u(t)$ 

(iv) Use the IV estimator with  $F(q^{-1}) = \hat{L}(q^{-1})$ , and  $\mathbf{z}^{(2)}(t) = \hat{L}_N(q^{-1})[-x^{(2)}(t-1) \dots - x^{(2)}(t-n_a) u(t-1) \dots u(t-n_b)]$ 

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 17/ 18

Lecture 5

# Summary IVM

- The implementation of the PEM is computationally complex for many model structures.
- The computationally convenient LS method is normally biased for such model structures (i.e. for correlated disturbances).
- The IV method uses **instruments** that are uncorrelated with the disturbances to make the "LS-like" solution consistent.
- The parameters obtained by the IV method are thus consistent (if the instruments are chosen with care) but has a (slightly) higher variance than the PEM estimates.
- Approximately optimal IV methods can be implemented in an iterative manner to achieve the lowest possible variance of the IV estimates.

System Identification 2005 EKL/TS

Page 18/ 18