An Implementation of Cache-Coherence for the Nios II Soft-core Processor

Yan Bao Mats Brorsson

Introduction

- FPGA has been broadly used in system design area
- Soft-core programmable processors mapped onto FPGA can be considered as equivalents to a microcontroller.
- Nios II soft-core processors are designed for uniprocessor system, not for multiprocessor system

Nios II Processor System

- Generated automatically by SOPC Builder
- Avalon Switch Fabric is a point-to-point interconnection
- Snooping cannot be used



Nios II Processor Core

- Nios II core is a 32bit processor
- The data cache is a direct mapped write-back cache
- Caches have their own Avalon Memory Mapped (Avalon– MM) master ports connected with slave peripherals



DE2 Development and Education Board

- An Altera Cyclone II 2C35 FPGA device
- USB Blaster (on board) for programming and user API control
- 512Kbyte SRAM
- 8Mbtye SDRAM
- LEDs, LCD and 7segment displays
- Switches and buttons



Architecture of the original system

- Generated by SOPC Builder
- Two cores system
- SDRAM is the shared memory
- Do not support hardware cache coherency solutions



Hardware cache coherency interface



States in cache and directory

- States in data cache: Modified, Shared and Invalid
- States in directory: Exclusive, Shared, Uncached
- Directory only contains valid cache lines' information, not the whole SDRAM. Because of resource limit on DE2 board.
- Directory Byte Data field:



Protocols of a load miss in data cache



Protocols of a load miss in data cache



Protocols of a store miss in data cache



Protocol of Busy State in Directory Controller

- To maintain write atomicity, only one write operation is permitted at the same time
- Before the permitted request completes, directory controller is at its busy state
- 'S' port will always be blocked
- 'Dr_S' port can receive write requests, but requests will always be refused



Test

- Quartus II is the software used as testing tool.
- The test of the system includes two parts: Simulation and Debug
- Simulation is based on software and debug is based on hardware.
- Single processor read and write test
- Multi-processor read and write test
- Program tests in the future

Implementation Aspects

Key number differences between the original design and our cache coherent design:

	Original design	New design
Total logic elements	5 642	7 282
Memory bits used	230 656	243 072
Max clock frequency	187.34 MHz	72.35 MHz

- Memory overhead is negligible
- The Max clock frequency decreased much, since critical path is longer.
- Because before each read or write operation get access to SDRAM, the directory controller holds it, and checks and changes the information in directory.

Conclusions and Future Work

- Compared to a dual-core design without cache coherence, our design has negligible overhead in memory bits and logic elements.
- The design is extendable to the system with more than two cores.
- Our future work will be to implement it on a large FPGA board and add more functional performances.